مجلة جامعة الحسين بن طلال للبحوث مجلة علمية محكمة دومرية تصدير عن عمادة البحث العلميّ والدّبرإسات العليا ملحق (١ ٢٠١٩ ٢

Effects of Discharge Reject Brine on Surface Water in Port Sudan City

Mustafa SirElkhatim Mustafa

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Red Sea University, Sudan

Email: mustafam1979@yahoo.com

**Abstract:** 

The general aim of this study focus on the effects of discharge reject brine into the surface water in Port

Sudan city. It considers baseline study in Port Sudan. Three samples of water were taken from sea land

lagoon by using TCD (Temperature, Conductivity and Depth) device, on the other hand one sample from

open sea (Abu Hashish) was also taken. All the samples were collected during summer and winter seasons.

The obtained results showed a significant increase in the temperature and salinity of the surface water

when mixed with the discharge reject brine, this will absolutely affect the marine life. Also the continuous

discharge reject brine into water near the intake desalination plants affects the characteristics of the feed

water.

المستخلص ·

الهدف الرئيس من هذه الدراسة التركيز على تأثير طرح المحلول الملحي الناتج من محطات تحلية المياه في المياه السطحية في مدينة

بورتسودان وتعتبر دراسة أولية في مدينة بورتسودان. تم أخذ ثلاث عينات من خليج السيلاند بواسطة جهاز قياس درجة الحرارة والموصليق

والعمق ، إضافة إلى أخذ عينة من البحر المفتوح (منطقة أبوحشيش) تم جمع العينات خلال فصلى الصيف والشتاء.

النتائج المتحصل عليها أظهرت زياة ملحوظة في درجة الحرارة والملوحة في منطقة طرح المحلول الملحي وهذا بدوره يؤثر على حياة

الكائنات البحرية ، أيضاً المواصلة في طرح المحلول الملحي بالقرب من مكان سحب المياه الخام الى المحطة سوف يغير من خصائصها.

Keywords: Reject brine, Khor Arbaat, Desalination plants, Environment

86

#### **Introduction:**

Port Sudan is the main port and largest commercial centre of the Sudan located in semi-arid region. Its estimated population in 2010 was about 926,000 inhabitants, whereas the estimated water demand estimated is 120000-150000 m3/day. Portable water produced from Khor Arbaat is 60,000 m3/day in good years; however, it may go down to 30,000 m3/day in bad years[1]. In general, the overall situation of the city is characterized by regular shortages. The shortage of water compensated by desalination plants[2]. It is estimated that the world production of desalination water exceeds 30 million cubic meters per day and the desalination market worldwide is expected to reach \$ 30 billion by 2015[<sup>3</sup>]. There are many options for brine management include: discharge to surface water or wastewater treatment plants; deep well injection; land disposal; evaporation ponds; and mechanical/thermal evaporation[<sup>3</sup>].

The reject brine of high salt concentration is drained into the sea causing environmental impact of aquatic life in the red sea [<sup>4</sup>].

The present study focuses on the discharge of reject brine into the surface water, as the available desalination plant practiced this method as illustrated in Fig. (1).



Figure (1): Desalination Plant – Sea Land lagoon

The main factors that determine the costs of reject brine discharge to surface water include: costs to transport the brine from the desalination plant to the surface water discharge outfall; costs for outfall construction and operation; and costs associated with monitoring the environmental effects of the brine discharge on the surface waters [<sup>5</sup>].

Brine was defined as any water stream in a desalination process that has higher salinity than the feed, whereas reject brine is the highly concentrated water in the last stage of the desalination process that is usually discharged as wastewater. The reject brine discharged to the sea has the ability to change the salinity, alkalinity and the temperature averages of the seawater and can cause change in marine environment.[4] The

characteristics of the reject brine depend on the type of feed water and type of desalination process. They also depend on the percent recovery as well as the chemical additives used[<sup>6</sup>]

### **Materials and Methods:**

# **Samples Collection:**

60 samples of water taken from sea land lagoon at different depths from May, June and April, September, shown Fig.(2). All the samples taken by using TCD (Temperature, Conductivity and Depth), shown Fig.(3)). On the other hand, 20 samples from open sea (Abu Hashish) were also taken at different depths from May, June and April, September, shown Fig.(4) and Fig.(3). All samples collected during summer (May, June) and winter (April, September) seasons.



Figure(2): Locations of samples collection in sea land lagoon



Figure (3): TCD device [7]






Figure (4): Location of samples collection in open sea (Abu Hashish)

## **Results and Discussions**


Table (1) showed that there was an increase in the salinity and temperature for the samples collected from sea land lagoon. The results also showed a slight increase in salinity and temperature for station 3 compare to station 2 and 1.





Figure(6): Compare between temperature for three station

Table (1): Salinity and Temperature in Summer (May, June) and Winter (Apirl, September) seasons for sea land lagoon



	Мау		Temperatur e( <sup>O</sup> C)		Temperatur		Temperatur
		40. 52	30.2 85	39. 13	29.6 52	<b>44.6</b> 5	30.7 48
		41. 53	30.1 63	42. 59	29.8 06	<b>44.6 6</b>	30.7 57
		41. 79	30.0 76	42. 57	29.8 43	44.7	30.7 33
		41. 88	30.0 35	<b>42.</b> 55	29.8 41	44.8 1	30.6 81
		42. 04	29.9 58	42. 55	29.8 43	44.9 1	30.5 88
r		43. 07	31.8 70	43. 29	30.4 18	<b>46.9 9</b>	29.9 84
Summer		43. 09	31.8 99	43. 85	30.7 97	49.1 7	29.3 86
		43. 51	31.8 84	44. 79	31.4 68	<b>49.5 6</b>	29.0 13
		43. 51	31.9 13	45. 02	31.3 10	<b>49.7 6</b>	28.8 23
		43.	31.9	45.	31.3	49.8	28.7
	June	39.	27.9	31 40.	97 27.8	42.5	28.8
		91 39.	27.8	99 41.	72 27.9	<b>7 43.0</b>	95 29.2
		92 39.	10 27.7	04 41.	28.0	9 43.2	29.4
	April	93	74	21	42	2	13

	39.	27.7	41.	28.4	43.3	29.4
	93	68	46	06	3	36
	20	25.5	41	20.0	42.4	20.0
	39.	27.7	41.	29.0	43.4	28.9
	95	77	87	34	2	33
	41.	31.6	42.	31.1	45.9	31.0
	52	94	<b>79</b>	19	5	02
	42.	31.5	43.	31.4	46.4	31.0
	16	34	5	35	1	33
	42.	31.1	43.	32.0	46.9	31.0
<u> </u>	38	57	92	48	9	66
ıbe	30	57	92	40	9	00
September	42.	31.1	44.	32.1	47.2	31.0
Sep	47	33	14	26	8	41
<b>J</b> 1			_ •			
	42.	31.2	44.	32.2	47.4	30.9
	63	25	27	50	5	77

Table (2): Salinity and Temperature in Summer (May, June) and Winter (September, April ) seasons for open sea(Abu Hashish)

Seasons		Station	
		Salinity(ppm)	Temperature( <sup>O</sup> C)
		38.62	29.452
	May	38.63	29.426
		38.67	29.413
Summer		38.67	29.417
		38.68	29.406
		38.85	30.804

	June	38.86	30.804	Conclusion:
		38.87	30.797	From the results it can concluded that do not discharge reject brine directly into surface water
		38.87	30.794	especially in closed area(Sea land lagoon), also we
		38.86	30.784	concluded reverse relationship between salinity and temperature .We remarked the salinity in winter
		38.48	27.155	season greater than summer season because the evaporation in winter greater than summer which
	April	38.5	27.123	the move of air is better.
Winter		38.5	27.120	References:
		38.51	27.115	[1] Red Sea State Water Corporation.
		38.51	27.118	[2] Port Sudan Water and Sanitation Rehabilitation  and Expansion Assessment and Programme Design
		39.26	31.580	February 2015 – Mott MacDonald.
Septe	September	39.27	31.581	[3] Muftah H. El-Naas (2011). Reject Brine
		39.27	31.582	Management, Desalination, Trends and Technologies, Michael Schorr (Ed.), ISBN: 978-
		39.27	31.581	953-307-311-8, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/desalinationtren
		39.27	31.581	ds- and-technologies/reject-brine management.

The results in table (2) indicated the range of salinity less than  $40*10^3$  ppm(Parts Per Million). For compare between table (1) and table (2), we found major variation of salinity and temperature (show Figure(5) and Figure(6)), thus consider the reject brine discharge into surface water lead to exceed salinity and temperature.

### **Discussion:**

The results confirmed that the discharged reject brine into surface water has increased the salinity and temperture. This results adequately agreed with the previous similar study.

- [4] M. S. E. Mustafa, G.A.Gasmelseed.
  Desalination plants in the red sea state. (IJESIT)
  Volume 4, Issue 1, January 2015
  [5] Mickley, M., Membrane Concentrate Disposal:
  Practices and Regulation, Second Edition.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Technical Service Center, Water Treatment Engineering and Research Group, April 2006.
- [6] Ahmed, M., W. H. Shayya, D. Hoey, A. Maendran, R. Morris and J. Al-Handaly, "Use of evaporation ponds for brine disposal in desalination plants," Desalination, 130,

155-168 (2000).

[7] Institute of Marine Research- Red Sea University.